



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,166	09/11/2003	Paul Reuben Day	ROC920030145US1	7590
30206	7590	06/22/2007		
IBM CORPORATION ROCHESTER IP LAW DEPT. 917 3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829			EXAMINER PHAM, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT 2167	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/22/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/660,166	DAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael D. Pham <i>M.P.</i>	2167	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Michael D. Pham. (3) Scott A. Stinebruner (reg. #: 38323).
 (2) Cam Y Truong. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent.

Identification of prior art discussed: Zait and Amundsen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendments were discussed by Applicant's representative. However, after review of the proposed amendments Admundsen appears to still suggest the recited limitation. Applicant's representative agreed to further amend the claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.

BRUCE TITTEL
DAVID S. STALLARD
J. ROBERT CHAMBERS
GREGORY J. LUNN
KURT L. GROSSMAN
CLEMENT H. LUKE, JR.
THOMAS J. BURGER
GREGORY F. AHRENS
WAYNE L. JACOBS
KURT A. SUMME
KEVIN G. ROONEY
KEITH R. HAUPT
THEODORE R. REMAKLUS
THOMAS W. HUMPHREY
SCOTT A. STINEBRUNER
DAVID H. BRINKMAN
BEVERLY A. LYMAN, PH.D.
KRISTI L. DAVIDSON
KATHRYN E. SMITH
P. ANDREW BLATT, PH.D.
DAVID E. JEFFERIES
J. DWIGHT POFFENBERGER, JR.
WILLIAM R. ALLEN, PH.D.

OF COUNSEL
JOHN D. POFFENBERGER
DAVID J. JOSEPHIC
DONALD F. FREI
THOMAS W. FLYNN
BRADLEY D. BECK

2700 CAREW TOWER

441 VINE STREET

CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-2917

TELEPHONE: 513-241-2324

FACSIMILE: 513-241-6234

WEBSITE: www.whepatent.com

PATENT, TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT
AND UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW
AND RELATED LITIGATION

EDMUND P. WOOD	1923-1968
TRUMAN A. HERRON	1935-1976
EDWARD B. EVANS	1936-1971

JOSEPH R. JORDAN
C. RICHARD EBY

JOHN PAUL DAVIS
DOUGLAS A. SCHOLER
BRETT A. SCHATZ
DAVID W. DORTON
SARAH OTTE GRABER
STEVEN W. BENINTENDI, PH.D.
RANDALL S. JACKSON, JR.
ADAM R. WEEKS
CHARLES R. FIGER, JR.
KEVIN E. KUEHN

PATENT AGENTS
ANA C. JACQUEZ
MICHAEL H. SCHENKER

June 14, 2007

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

To: Examiner Michael Pham

From: Scott A. Stinebruner

Fax: 571-273-3924

Re: Our File: IBM-257

Pages: (including cover sheet)2

MESSAGE/COMMENTS

The information in this facsimile message is ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED, WORK PRODUCT and/or CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom this message is addressed. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via mail. Thank you. If transmission is interrupted or of poor quality, please notify us immediately by calling (513) 241-2324 and ask for the sender's assistant. **OUR FAX NUMBER IS (513) 241-6234.**

Proposed Agenda

S/N 10/660,166

Agenda

- Discuss 103 rejections
- Propose amending claim 1 to clarify that change to join order is "dynamic", and that the first and second portions of the result set are generated for the same execution of a query, i.e., the same query.
- Propose amending claims 12, 16 and 21 in a similar manner

Proposed Amendments

1. (Currently Amended) A method for monitoring a query during runtime, said query involving a plurality of join operations, the method comprising the steps of:

- running the query according to a first join order;
- generating a first portion of a result set for the query while running the query according to the first join order;
- concurrent with running the query, collecting performance statistics about each of the join operations;
- dynamically changing the first join order, during running of the query, to a second join order based on the statistics; and
- generating a second portion of the result set for the query while running the query according to the second join order, wherein the first and second portions of the result set are generated for the same execution of the query.

318925-1 SSTINEBR